

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450

	•			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,180	07/11/2001	Keith D. Allen	R-477	1187
759 DEL TA CENT	05/0//2003			
DELTAGEN, INC. 1003 Hamilton Avenue			EXAMINER	
Menlo Park, CA	94025		SULLIVAN, DANIEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636 DATE MAILED: 05/07/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Advisory Action

	~		
Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/904,180	ALLEN, KEITH D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel M Sullivan	1636		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.10 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🖂 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 1-4,8,9,11 and 20-25 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13,18-26 and 28-32</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. Other:
Anne-marie dalk
ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office





Application No. 09/904,180

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The communication filed after final rejection proposes amendments to claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 20-25. The proposed amendment to claim 5 raises new grounds for rejection of claims 5 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraph, as the amended claim 5 is directed to a murine embryonic stem cell, which encompasses a rat embryonic stem cell, capable of producing a transgenic mouse. The disclosure and prior art do not teach a rat embryonic stem cell capable of producing a mouse. The proposed amendment to claim 13 raises new grounds for rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the claimed subject matter is not supported by the originally filed disclosure. The claim is directed to a method of identifying an agent that ameliorates a phenotype comprising contacting an embryonic stem cell with an agent, producing a transgenic mouse from the embryonic stem cell and determining whether the phenotype of the animal is ameliorated. There is no support for a method comprising the steps of contacting an ES cell with an agent and introducing the cell into a blastocyst in the originally filed specification or claims. The proposed amendment to claim 9 raises new grounds for objection because a clean copy of the claim was not provided with the amendment.

Had the amendment been entered, claim 10 would stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as lacking enablement for a method of making a transgenic mouse wherein the transgenic mouse produced by the method is not limited to a useful phenotype for

reasons of record in the previous office actions.